ISSN: 2456 – 3080
International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research
Impact Factor 5.255, Special Issue, February 2018 – Conference Proceedings
National Conference on Recent Trends in Management Studies & Computer Applications
(KRUPACON 2017), On 21st & 22nd September 2017 Organized By
Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka

TECHNICAL EDUCATION AND EMPLOYABILITY IN INDIA

Samuel Paul Isaac* & Namita Rani Behera**
* Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka
** Krupanidhi Degree College, Bangalore, Karnataka

Cite This Article: Samuel Paul Isaac & Namita Rani Behera, "Technical Education and Employability in Rural India", International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research, Special Issue, February, Page Number 31-36, 2018.

Abstract:

The continual rise in unemployment, especially in rural areas, is one of the most terrible concerns in India. According to 2011 census data, more over 20 percent of Indian young people aged 15 to 24 had been "searching or working." No peace and development will exist in the country until the unemployed have the right route. In India, skill scarcity is one of the key barriers to technical education recruitment. Technical educational productivity not fully absorbed because of the employers' lack of skills. This study focuses on the provision of services and their influence on employment skills together with the broad arguments recently put out concerning reforming the productivity of technological education. The purpose of this article is to find out if institutions of rural technological education focus on skills in employment? The report additionally concentrates on the employer's distinguishing talents for recruiting. The research also focuses on the institutes' services as well as their links to the development of skills. The survey, included a qualitative research survey using a structured questionnaire for students, or who have recently finished their technical education. The study examines several employability skills and how embedded technology education services are linked with employability skills growth and development. The study shows that these services lead to a complete and coherent approach to employability. Integrated service models can benefit from the Institute of and their influence on employability development. The institutes can therefore concentrate the most efficient services. The employer can know what job skills are included into the institutes' services.

Key Words: Technical Education, Skills, Employability, Integrated Services

Introduction:

In recent decades, the development of Higher Education (HE) involvement in many OECD countries in the transition from educational to working for new graduates and to the extent that new graduates are readily "employable"[1] has increased scholars and policy makers interested. By increasing the production of engineers, the higher education system has reacted to increasing requirements. From 1998 to 2008 the number of participants climbed by 800%, (MHRD, 2009). This increase in the quantitative level has been well recognized in that the quality, instruction and hence qualitative character of the graduate engineers have declined average [2]. A countrywide Employability Report by Aspiring Minds shows a record surge in technical education consumption that could only find more than 80 percent unemployed. The research says that employment in the previous five years has not improved much. It is noted that English and computer programming problems were the major problems [3]. The government ought to concentrate on enhancing education levels in present engineering colleges instead of creating additional engineering colleges [4]. The survey showed that just 18.43 percent of the six lakh engineers graduating yearly for the function of software engineers and IT services are employees, while only 3.95 per cent are well prepared to be deployed in projects. Just only 7.49 percent are employable for core positions in mechanical, electronic/electronic and civil jobs [5]. Many technicians in India have been dismissed because of lack of communication skills and trust. The survey findings done by [6] demonstrated a mismatch between the approach of English teachers and student confidence levels in engineering, and also emphasized the significance of appropriate training programs, for which students are required [7]. The dysfunctional condition of the higher education system in India simply does not assure they have sufficient skills to work [8]. The World Bank's newest report shows que 64% of employees are only somewhat happy with technical graduates' achievements in India [9]. The new technical graduates face greater "challenges and contests" than prior graduates today according to [10]. The reference [11] highlights the qualifications that graduates need to succeed their own career and to facilitate them to remain in their profession. Moreover, the employability skills program, which often refers to knowing how organizations run, what their aims are and how employees in these organizations execute their jobs, has been frequently stated to include 'understanding the world of work' [12]. To address the technological and business needs a variety of fresh and special technical knowledge. The focus given on personal and generic abilities in all fields of employment is also of major importance [13].

Technical Education Services:

Scholastic services are personal services, defined by student engagement in the service process as rigorous, intellectual, emotional and/or physical. Reference [14] suggested that continuing collaboration between teachers and support services should include incorporating aid facilities or other aid means in course curricula, class visits to sustenance of centers, or just inspiring the use of support services, encouraging the participation of students as well as connectivity. The motivation and approaches of pupils to learning are linked dynamically [15]. For most students, education or an institute is not just an academic period, it is also a way of exploring and improving themselves as social entities. Indeed, though some students wish to graduate college, they are not ultra-academic and prefer to take part in socially developing efforts [16]. Academic and social integration were identified in Reference [17] to influence study performance. Addition labor as a tool for knowledge and development, for the advantage of the public via scholars and instructors, must be given the utmost importance [18]. Indeed, a combination of integrated and independent teaching approaches are currently used by many university departments to enhance employability skills. The findings from reference [19] do not, however, suggest that a major impact on any of the labor market outcomes evaluated is the focus

ISSN: 2456 – 3080
International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research
Impact Factor 5.255, Special Issue, February 2018 – Conference Proceedings
National Conference on Recent Trends in Management Studies & Computer Applications
(KRUPACON 2017), On 21st & 22nd September 2017 Organized By
Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka

provided by the university departments to teaching, developing and evaluating employable skills. In a reference [20] results, the TE Institute Placement Officers consider that the current curricula should incorporate soft skills.

Employability Skills:

Training and not instruction captures employability skills! While Yorke and Harvey suggest that "higher education alignment with labor requirements should be based on intentional efforts by institutions to incorporate qualifications and features in education programs" [21]. Different individuals have regarded employability differently: 'A collection of abilities, comprehensions and personal characteristics that enhance graduates' chances of getting an employment in their selected professions, benefitting themselves, the staff, the public and the economy' [22]. 'Operational capabilities do not just depend on whether the demands of certain employment can be met, but also on how one is related to others in the jobseekers' hierarchy'[23]. Productive abilities are thought to be reflected in persons with a "skills hierarchy" that rises from the elementary to the highest levels, who have learned the amount and quality of education [24]. From an exhaustive overview of literature and the perspective of employers in India, employability has two key factors: (a) an individual's academic qualification; and (b) the learning environment that supports him or herself in the development of some generic skills [25]. Employability may be built as an individual's responsibility [26] or as higher education institutions [27]. Employability abilities are broad talents required to obtain maximum occupations, but also aid you to be in a position and advance to the highest. Vinayak Panase, a professional consultant perceives, is the basis for employment recruitments: communication, collaboration, problem resolving, fundamental mathematical ability, management, flexibility and ingenuity [28]. Knowledge, cognitive or intellectual capacities are necessary to acquire knowledge and apply it in a competent manner to solve issues with a well-defined objective and configuration [29]. Outcome direction is the skills that steadily produce corporate performance; establishes and accomplishes achievable but aggressive objectives; conforms consistently to excellence, service and output standards and fulfils targets; upholds an organizational attention. Human scholarly capabilities need to involve a range of issues to resolve problems that enable a person, and if suitable, to make an efficient product, to solve actual issues or challenges and, thus, to lay the grounds for new information [30]. Capability to learn is the capacity to acquire fresh or to alter & enhance present information, comportments, competencies, standards or inclinations that could direct to a possible alteration in data synthetization, information proofed, attitudes and conduct with respect to type and range of experience [31]. In ethics, the honesty and veracity of one's acts is seen by many as integrity. Integrity can be countered by hypocrisy [32]. The talents of an individual to interact correctly are the talents employed by him. For many roles in a company, good interpersonal skills are a prerequisite [33]. According to the reference survey [34] the essential skills in employability, communication and professional abilities for recruiting are deemed to be crucial. Communication in English is among the most sought-after skills [34]. The Institutes must bridge the fissure during the primary or subsequent year by imparting basic skills such as English and rational skill, etc., according to panel discussion [35]. Practices must be leveraged effectively. The main skills for recruitment are essential by industry; industrial & automation, internet based business, tele communities and associated industry, services sector, fundamental sector and BPO/KOP industry [36], Wheebox reports, the leading Indian aptitude valuation company: education capabilities, outcome guidance, relational abilities, honesty and morals, and flexibility.

Research Methodology:

The purpose of this study is to find out if institutes are interested in focusing on employment ability of their students? To identify combined Institute facilities that correlate with the development of skills. A survey conducted qualitative research. It consisted of a organized survey distributed by electronic mail to present and recently passed out scholars from TE institutions. The sample size (n) for which the regular common variant (Z) is 1.96 and the average inaccuracy (e) was determined at 95 percent confidence level, and n = Z2 (p)/e2, where n = model size for this training is N = unidentified population p = projected population share n, were computed at 95 percent. In the case of p = 90 percent, 'n' is 553. The model size of 664 was, however, determined by the allocation selection from technological institutes which provide diverse technical, pharmaceutical and management programs. The form of the Google questionnaire was emailed to include structural questions that measure diverse TE Institute offerings and their relationship with the abilities of employability. The reactions were obtained in a scale between 0 and 5, with a low value of zero and a high value of five. The sample characteristics are outlined below;

Sex: Female: 211, Male: 453

Home town: Village: 218, Taluka: 282, District: 163

Program: Management: 60, Pharmacy: 114, Engineering: 491

Data Interpretation and Observation:

Table 1: United amenities and its effect on employment ability services

	Employability Skills										
Individual Mean	3.231	3.348	3.580	3.557	3.508	3.331	3.532	3.581	3.441	3.830	
Services Integrated	Knowledge General	IQ	Technical competences	Emotional Quotient	Development of teams	Management	Level of trust	Capacity to manage stress	Creation of Idea	Capacity to work hard	Model F-value & p-Value
Technological Infrastructure	1.00 0.421	0.55 0.745	2.61 0.026	2.30 0.042	2.79 0.018	4.91 0.000	4.04 0.001	3.08 0.009	0.84 0.530	3.02 0.010	18.89 0.000
Learning methods Faculty & Teaching	1.00 0.418	2.18 0.053	1.71 0.133	1.04 0.397	0.73 0.607	2.11 0.064	1.18 0.311	2.44 0.035	5.12 0.000	5.26 0.000	24.07 0.000
Catering & Recreation Students	1.28 0.267	0.92 0.475	0.87 0.494	0.31 0.898	2.98 0.012	3.73 0.004	3.14 0.009	5.03 0.000	2.75 0.019	3.49 0.003	21.86 0.000

Placements on Campus	3.56 0.004	1.47 0.202	1.77 0.114	1.94 0.086	1.29 0.268	1.08 0.372	1.35 0.245	0.44 0.832	1.67 0.144	0.47 0.805	22.63 0.000
Interactions and links between industry	0.58 0.704	0.81 0.552	0.31 0.912	1.05 0.392	1.06 0.376	3.23 0.006	1.97 0.083	1.12 0.352	2.17 0.056	1.07 0.378	19.07 0.000
Bibliography and computer equipment	1.07 0.378	0.28 0.917	0.91 0.485	0.63 0.684	0.46 0.815	1.28 0.267	0.99 0.428	0.17 0.978	0.44 0.826	0.57 0.717	18.16 0.000
Curriculum Co & Extra activity	5.01 0.000	3.71 0.002	3.51 0.005	2.16 0.055	0.89 0.492	1.09 0.368	1.62 0.157	2.03 0.076	3.45 0.003	2.71 0.018	17.11 0.000
Safety and medical equipment	2.48 0.31	1.51 0.188	1.85 0.098	1.55 0.176	0.75 0.581	1.53 0.182	1.58 0.165	1.12 0.355	3.02 0.011	0.64 0.664	18.21 0.000
Graded, Accredited & Recognized	0.34 0.896	0.73 0.612	1.17 0.327	1.87 0.101	1.16 0.325	2.39 0.036	1.28 0.275	1.62 0.154	0.73 0.612	1.17 0.318	17.42 0.000
Interaction between alumni	0.58 0.708	0.43 0.837	0.91 0.481	0.43 0.837	2.76 0.016	0.87 0.494	0.72 0.616	1.68 0.141	0.87 0.498	0.18 0.976	17.63 0.000
Soft and technical expertise	0.58 0.722	0.63 0.686	1.64 0.151	6.61 0.000	2.67 0.022	1.52 0.185	1.53 0.187	1.88 0.094	0.53 0.744	2.25 0.046	25.08 0.000
Cultural and sports activities	1.68 0.141	0.38 0.852	0.84 0.529	0.27 0.935	3.81 0.001	2.62 0.022	1.48 0.193	2.26 0.047	3.27 0.007	1.14 0.343	14.27 0.000
Activities for Research	2.07 0.068	1.12 0.359	1.48 0.197	1.62 0.156	2.68 0.021	0.83 0.525	3.76 0.003	1.67 0.137	5.61 0.000	2.37 0.038	17.21 0.000
Finance & Scholarships	0.54 0.751	0.74 0.588	0.96 0.448	1.22 0.290	1.12 0.354	1.28 0.264	0.96 0.452	0.88 0.488	1.95 0.088	0.38 0.855	13.98 0.000
Life and Discipline Campus	2.87 0.016	2.15 0.058	3.82 0.003	1.42 0.211	1.91 0.092	3.99 0.002	2.65 0.024	2.78 0.018	1.37 0.228	6.61 0.000	23.27 0.000
Model F-value & p-Value	12.97 0.000	14.11 0.000	13.52 0.000	17.14 0.000	15.15 0.000	15.84 0.000	11.76 0.000	14.11 0.000	13.46 0.000	13.46 0.000	

TE Institute Assessment of Integrated Services:

Table 1 illustrates the average of reactions to the employability qualifications of students. The highest improvement of all abilities (Mean=3.830), tracked by technical (Mean=3.580), stress management (Mean=3.581), soft skills (Mean=3.557) and development of team (Mean=3.508), is noted.

Management (F-value = 4.91), trust level (F-value = 4.04), stress management (F-value=3.08), hard work capability (F-value = 3.02), technical abilities (F-value = 2.61), soft abilities (F-value=2.30) and development of team (F-value = 2.79) have a substantial influence on Leadership. The faculty, teaching techniques and the capacity to manage stress (F-worth = 5.12), Diligent (F-value=5.26), and Creativity / Idea Generation (F-value=2.44) have established substantial associations. Students Stress managing ability (F-value=5.03), management (F-value=3.73), level of trust (F-value=3.14), creativity (F-value=2.75) and work capacity (F-value=3.49) seems to have greater effect on students' amenities and re-creative facilities. Only general knowledge (F value = 3.56) has an influence on campus placing activities. Service associated with industrial interaction has identified a high link with management capabilities (F -value=3.23). Book archive and computer amenities have no link to the ability to hire. The institutions' co- and extracurricular activities have greatly affected general knowledge in terms of value F, value F, and hard work ability, creativity, and ideas (F, value=3.45), IQ, technical expertise (F-value=3.71, F-value=3.51, F-value=2.71, etc.). In creativity and idea generation, support facilities i.e. safety, security and medical equipment (F value=3.02) are further effectively provided. The development of leadership is advantageous in terms of graduations, accreditation and recognition (F value=2.39). In team development (F-values=2.76), the Alumni's Interaction activities are successful. We are closely related with soft and technical skill development (F-value=6.61), development of team (F-value = 2.67), and hard work capacity (F-value=2.25) programs. The relationships between cultural and sports activities include development of team (F-value=3.61), management (F-value=2.62) and tension management (F-value=2.26), ingenuity and ideas (F-value=3.27). Development of team (F-value=2.68), trust-level (F-value=3.76), ingenuity and ideas generation (F-value=5.61) and hard-working skills (F-value=2.37) have been connected with research activities. The funding for finance and academia has little influence on skills in employment. The development of knowledge general (F-value= 2.87) of practical knowledge (F-value=3.82), management (F-value=3.78), trust level (F-value=2.65), tension management capabilities (F-value=2.78) and hard-work capabilities (F-value=6.61) through the Campus Life & Discipline is effective

In general the integral services offered by institutions such as the development program for emotional quotient and technical competencies (F-value=25.08), the Learning methods of Faculty and Teaching (F-value=24.07), the Life and Discipline Campus (F-value=23.27) and followed by Placements on Campus (F-value= 22.63).

Empirical Findings:

- General knowledge is strongly determined by the activities of Institutes co and extracurricular (F-value=5.01) followed by the activities of the campus placing, Campus Life and the Institute's discipline. Students on Campus Placement must study harder and obtain knowledge general. Curriculum Co and extra activities such as paper and poster expositions, technical conference participations, workshops and seminars develop general knowledge. Knowledge general promotes college life and discipline with public and municipal participation.
- Intelligent quotation (IQ) of students is closely related to CCA and CA (F-value = 3.71), including paper/postcard presentation, technical symposium participations, seminars, industrial visitors, workshops projects, workshops and workshops.
- Curriculum Co and extra activities seem to have similar impact again on development of technical abilities. Enhanced technology and infrastructures have an influence on technological skills development. However, with technological skills development, the campus life and discipline that give a good study environment have stronger influence (F-value=3.82).

- Soft competences are closely associated by institutions, followed by Infrastructure and Technologic (F-value = 6.61) programs of soft and technical abilities. Some institutes may feature a professional and linguistic laboratory to educate soft skills.
- Development of team is the capacity to operate successfully in an assemblage to attain a given objective. The group may include a cluster of pupils and/or teachers related to participating in joint and extracurricular events, programs for development of technical and soft skills, athletic and social activities or research undertakings. The development team is also linked to the IT and the recreation of institutes where the group students collaborate and engage on a single stage such as the library, the refectory, boarding house and the theater hall, etc. Students are shown to have a greater influence on their team skills in development through co- and extra-curricular activities (F-value = 3.82).
- Leadership is an act of leadership, guidance and control for the achievement of aim by all participants. Leadership qualities are highly connected to technology and infrastructure (F-value = 4.91), and they are then maintained by student services and leisure, industrial collaborations and interconnections, graduation, endorsement and appreciation, athletic and social events and campus and discipline.
- Confidence is related to the level of infrastructure and technology, followed by a level of student amenities and leisure, a level of research and Campus Life and Discipline (F-value=4.04).
- The capacity of stress management is in strong association with Students' activities and recreation (F-value=5.03), followed by infrastructure and technology, teaching, sport and culture, and campus life and discipline. Stress management is strongly related.
- The generation of creativity and ideas is a strongly related research methodology for the faculties and teachings (F-value=5.12) which is trained in the fields of research (F-value=5.61), student endeavors and recreation, safety, safety and medical equipment. Safe and secure campuses give students with safe and assured living and equipment to concentrate more on their studies. Quiet mind and brain also create innovative thoughts. The brain for thinking is ignited by research activities.
- College Life and Discipline (F-value = 6.61) is a highly interconnected capacity, followed by Infrastructure and Technology, Faculty and Learning Methods; Students' facilities and leisure; curricular co and extra activities; soft & technical abilities agendas; research undertakings.
- Largely Integrated Institute facilities reveal that the characteristics of students with integrated services given by the institutes are substantially impacted and effectively impacted by soft skill (F-value = 17.14), team development (F-value = 15.15, and management (F-value = 15.84).
- The students have acquired a hard-work capacity (Mean=3.830), technical competence (Mean=3.580), stress handling capacity (Mean=3.581), soft competence (Mean=3.557) and Team development (Mean=3.508) while considering certain competences.
- Employee and teacher services have an influence on employment skills development. In addition, management services and facilities are particularly significant for employability skills development, including as infrastructure and technology, student amenities and recreational facilities, secure campuses, sports and cultural facilities and campus living/discipline. These amenities provide a dynamic campus and a platform for student participation. Students and community/peoples also have a connection to developing employee skills through the interaction between Alumni and Campus Life, both given indirectly by institutes.

Conclusion:

For most people in our culture, education is a precondition for jobs. Since it's a mental index, as we know, language is a mental index. Pupils who come from rural regions confront challenges of speech-based communication owing to the lack of exposure, confidence, skills and the culturally-relevant hurdles, and notably students who have no experience in the world of competition and communication. India has its best chances and problems with the world's biggest young population. The population is 70 percent rural. By 2022, India is expected to become the youngest country in the world and its population not only offers a big pool of workforce, but also focuses on making this talent pool workable. The Government of India is aiming to make India a worldwide economic capital with projects like Make in India, Smart Cities, Digital India, and Strat up India. The success of each of these programs is driven by the development of skills. This study has shown that the employability abilities are affecting the community or the environment other than the members of the institute. Students have to participate in colleagues, students and interactions with the community. They do not teach and gain all abilities in employability. The purchase of grades, infrastructure or positions should cease to be students pursuing technical education. Rather, they need extensive study and training including skills in the field of employability. "As you sow, you reap" is worth quoting, meaning that teachers and service providers must see employable skills as a transition from education to training, from rethinking to proactive actions, from discretionary complacency to compulsory and inbuilt services. It will enhance India's rural jobs and also contribute directly to the nation's economy.

Acknowledgement:

The authors express gratitude towards the assistance provided by Krupanidhi Group of Institutions and Krupanidhi Research Incubation Center in preparing the manuscript. We also thank our Research Mentors who guided us throughout the research and helped us in achieving the desired results.

References:

1. Lindberg, M. 2007. ‘At the frontier of graduate surveys’: Assessing participation and employability of graduates with masters’ degrees in nine European countries. Higher Education 53: 623–44.

2. World Bank Report (2009). India's Investment Climate, Voice of Indian Business, World Bank, Washington DC, USA.
3. Rozelle Laha (2016). Hindustan Times, New Delhi. Over 80% of engineers in India still unemployable: Survey. http://www.hindustantimes.com/education/over-80-of-engineers-in-india-still-unemployables urvey/story-vhsmdfhsklbw akdbaguwek.html
4. Aspiring Minds, Employability of Engineers: State Wise; http://www.aspiringminds.com/ research-articles/employa bility-of-engineers-state-wise
5. The Times of India. Only 18% engineering grads are employable, says survey. http://timesofi ndia.indiatimes.com/ city/mumbai/Only-18-engineering-grads-are-employable-sayssurvey/article show/38438996.cms
6. Clement, A; Murugavel, T. (2015). English for Employability: A Case Study of the English Language Training Need Analysis for Engineering Students in India. Canadian Center of Science and Education Feb 2015
7. Peck J, Theodore N (2000) Beyond 'employability'. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 24(6), 729 - 749.
8. India Today. Only 7 per cent engineering graduates employable: What's wrong with India's engineers? July 13, 2016. http://indiatoday.intoday.in/education/story/engineering-employment problems/1/71 3827.html
9. Andreas Blom, H.S. (2011). "Employability & skills set of newly graduated Engineers in India" World Bank.
10. Mohammad, S. and Md. Nor, H. and Omar, W. and Mohamed, D., 2004. "Enhancing Teaching and Learning through the Incorporation of Generic Skills for Civil Engineering Undergraduates", Conference on Engineering Education (CEE 2004), 14-15, Kuala Lumpur.
11. Harvey, L., and A. Morey. 2003. Enhancing employability, recognising diversity. London: Universities UK and Higher Education Careers Services Unit.
12. Coopers and Lybrand. 1998. Skills development in higher education. London: Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals/Department for Education and Employment.
13. Skills Dialogue (2000). An Assessment of Skill Needs in Information and Communication Technology. National Training Organizations. www.ictliteracy.info/rf.pdf/Skills%20Dialogues .pdf
14. Jones, C. (2001). The relationship between writing centers and improvement in writing ability: An assessment of the literature. Education, 122(1), 3-20.
15. Cano, F., & Berben, A. B. G. (2009). University students' achievement goals and approaches to learning in mathematics. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 79, 131–153.
16. Moxley, D., Najor-Durack, A., &Dumbrigue, C. (2001). Keeping students in higher education: Successful practices and strategies for retention. London: Kogan Page Limited.
17. Baker, R. W., &Siryk, B. (1999). SACQ student adaptation to college questionnaire (2nd ed.). Los Angeles: Western Psychological Services.
18. Goel, Aruna & Goel, S (2010). Encyclopedia in Higher Education in the 21st Century. Extension Education Services in Higher Education, Volume 3. Deep and Deep Publication pvt. Ltd., New Delhi
19. Geoff, Mason, Gareth, Williams & Sue, Cranmer (2009). Employability skills initiatives in higher education: what effects do they have on graduate labour market outcomes? Education Economics. London, UK.
20. Radhakrishnan, Sudha (2012). An overview of Emplyability Skills required for Engineering College Leavers. International Journal of Management. ISSN 0976 – 6375
21. Yorke M, Harvey L (2005) Graduate attributes and their development. New Directions for Institutional Research (128):41-58.
22. Yorke, M. (2004). Employability in higher education: What it is—what it is not. York: Higher Education Academy.
23. Brown, P. & Hesketh, A. (2004). The mismanagement of talent: Employability and jobs in the knowledge economy. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
24. Chadha, G.K. (2004). Human capital base of the Indian labour market: Identifying worry spots. The Indian Journal of Labour Economics, 47(1), 3–38.
25. Shrivastava, Aarti & Khare, Mona (2012). Skills for employability: South Asia. Washington DC: Results for Development Institute.
26. Garsten, Christina, and Kerstin Jacobsson. 2004a. Learning to be employable: An introduction. In Learning to be employable: New agendas on work, responsibility, learning in a globalizing world, ed. Christina Garsten and Kerstin Jacobsson, 1–22. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
27. Harvey, Lee. 2001. Defining and measuring employability. Quality in Higher Education 7: 97–109.
28. Vinayak B. Panse. Career Advisor. https://www.mygov.in/sites/.../affbde65ea4419e74d5ce b6188e8fb14.pdf
29. Internet Source: What is IQ? What is intelligence? https://www.123test.com/what-is-iq-what-isintelligence/
30. Howard Gardner (1993). Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences. New York: Basic Books. 1993. ISBN 0-465-02510-2. OCLC 221932479
31. Richard Gross, Psychology: The Science of Mind and Behaviour 6E, Hachette UK, ISBN: 9781444 164367
32. John Louis Lucaites; Celeste Michelle Condit; Sally Caudill (1999). Contemporary rhetorical theory: a reader. Guilford Press. p. 92. ISBN 1-57230-401-4.
33. Investopedia. Interpersonal Skills. http://www.investopedia.com/terms/i/interpersonal-skills.asp
34. Andreas Blom and Hiroshi Saeki. 2011. "Employability and Skill Set of Newly Graduated Engineers in India." Policy Research Working Paper 5640, World Bank, Washington, DC.

35. The Hindu 2016. Panel Discussion: Most of engineering students lack employability skills. http://www.thehindu.com/news/national/andhra-pradesh/most-of-engineering-students-lackemployability-skills-say-exp erts/ar ticle8319173.ece
36. Sidramappa Shivashankar Dharane and Archita Vijaykumar Malge. Enhancement of Quality of Education Through Strengthening of Schools and Colleges, International Journal of Management, 6(10), 2015, pp. 09-10.